



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_4240
Title of the Manuscript:	An investigation into the Correlation among Municipal Solid Waste Pollution, Fecal Coliform Water Pollution and Water-borne Diseases (Typhoid and Diarrhea) in the Least Developed Countries: The case of Juba – South Sudan
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The title of the research is “ An investigation into the Correlation among Municipal Solid Waste Pollution, Fecal Coliform Water Pollution and Water-borne Diseases (Typhoid and Diarrhea) in the Least Developed Countries: The case of Juba – South Sudan” .As far as I have seen from the text, there is no research done or written in the manuscript about the solid waste problems in the mentioned study area. In introduction section of the manuscript as line 59 to 63 there are two general observations about the solid waste problems of the region and some interpretations on the relation between solid waste disposal and water borne diseases in line 495-500 which are under the correlation subtitle. It means the title and the abstract cover solid waste subjects, but no research or no published data on the solid waste are present in the manuscript. In addition, the water analysis data are not enough to get such correlations and obtained results.	Dear Reviewer, I do agree with your comment; and accordingly, we have made the compulsory REVISION. We hope that the corrections made are in line with what you want to be included. The first part of the correction is from line 86 to 103. This information was there in the manuscript but only that it was misplaced. It was under section 2.1 the Study Area (line 98 to 115) of the old manuscript. For the second part concerning solid waste pollution data, we did not include it before because we thought it will make the article too long. We have all the information from waste generation until disposal in Juba city: it was the first of our research then followed by the water samples' analysis and finally the correlation part. However, here we have to take only the part that clearly shows that the city is polluted otherwise the article will be abnormally long.
Minor REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments		